Case 1:16-cr-00019-PGG Document 19 Filed 05/02/16 Page 1 of 5

G444JonC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 16 CR 19 (PGG) V. 5 MAALIK ALIM JONES, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 New York, N.Y. 8 April 4, 2016 11:45 a.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. PAUL G. GARDEPHE, 12 District Judge 13 14 15 APPEARANCES 16 PREET BHARARA 17 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 18 ANDREW J. DEFILIPPIS SEAN BUCKLEY 19 Assistant United States Attorneys 20 SEAN MAHER 21 Attorney for Defendant 22 23 ALSO PRESENT: BRENDAN MOONEY, FBI 24 25

(In open court; case called)

THE COURT: Good morning.

Mr. Maher was appointed to replace Irving Cohen. He has only been in the case for a few weeks.

Mr. Maher, do you know at this point what the future of the case is going to be? Are there going to be any pretrial motions? Have you had time to review discovery? What is your status?

MR. MAHER: I just received fairly recently discovery from the government. We had to go through a protective order process, which your Honor knows about. Once that was completed, now five CDs of discovery have been provided to me. There has been a little technical issue with a few of them, but I think that is being resolved now. I'm just starting to review this information. My client has not begun to review it yet. I have spoken with the government. At this point, it would be appropriate for me to ask for 90 days to review this, review it with my client, continue discussions with the government. I think at that point we will all be in a position to set any motions or trial schedules, if that's appropriate at that time.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. DeFilippis or Mr. Buckley, can you give me a sense of what's on the CDs?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Yes, your Honor. The CDs consist largely of videos that were collected that have been produced

to defense counsel, some involving the defendant, some not involving the defendant. It also consists of toll records, summaries of statements made by the defendant, FBI reports, Miranda form. The bulk of the data, though, are the videos that were produced in the discovery.

THE COURT: Have arrangements been made so that the defendant can review these materials?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Your Honor, we are providing to defense counsel multiple copies of the CDs so that he can get it to the defendant. Obviously, we're happy to assist him any whatever way we can if there's a problem.

THE COURT: Mr. Maher, if you encounter any difficulties in having your client review the discovery, let me know. I don't want that to hold up the case.

MR. MAHER: Thank you. I will do that. I find it's a case-by-case basis sometimes with the Bureau of Prisons, and Mr. Jones is on 10 South, so that tends to make it difficult with video type of evidence.

I would just like to confirm that I have had discussions with the government, and the government I believe is of the position that this is the Rule 16 discovery that is complete at this point except for maybe some additional videos. I would just like to confirm that.

THE COURT: Is there anything else?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: That's right, your Honor. This is

all the Rule 16 discovery we have at this time. We may identify additional videos, which we will produce to defense counsel if we decide to use any at trial. We have also conducted a review to determine whether there's any classified discovery to produce at this time. We have determined that there is not, with the caveat — and we informed defense counsel — we intend to file a Classified Information Procedures Act motion under Section 4, and we would propose, with your Honor's permission, to do that by the July 6th conference date.

THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Mayer, 90 days would bring us to July 6th. I'm going to put that on for the conference at 10:00 a.m. on July 6th. I will expect you to tell me at that time whether there are going to be any motions in the case and, also, what your sense is as to whether the case is going to proceed to trial or whether there is going to be a disposition.

Does the government wish me to exclude time between now and July 6th?

MR. DeFILIPPIS: Yes, your Honor, so that the defendant and his counsel can review discovery.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Maher?

MR. MAHER: No.

THE COURT: All right. Then, I will exclude time between today and July 6, 2016, under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A)

Case 1:16-cr-00019-PGG Document 19 Filed 05/02/16 Page 5 of 5 G444JonC

to permit Mr. Maher and his client to review the discovery materials provided by the government and determine whether there will be any pretrial motions in this case. I do find that the ends of justice served by the granting of this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Is there anything else? MR. DeFILIPPIS: Not from the government, your Honor. MR. MAHER: No. (Adjourned)